UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,238	02/26/2004	Phillip L. Lam	PERFECT-1/CIP-2	7970
LEONARD TACHNER, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 38-E IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			MICHALSKI, SEAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/789,238 LAM, PHILLIP L. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SEAN M. MICHALSKI 3724 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) SEAN M. MICHALSKI. (3)Jun Y. Lee (#40,262). (2) Kenneth Peterson. (4) Thomas C. Sova (#59,331). Date of Interview: 04 March 3008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: . Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\bowtie$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ex. Michalski indicated that the proposed amendment would require additional search and consideration, and would not be entered after Final. Potential amendment defining limitations regarding the retention structure of the removable blade would define over the current rejections of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth E Peterson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_......